#### **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

# Destructive and non-destructive testing of samples from PLA and PETG materials

To cite this article: T Balint et al 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 1199 012045

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- <u>Optimization of SOFC Electrode</u> <u>Microstructures with Long Term</u> <u>Performance Modeling and Machine</u> <u>Learning</u> William K Epting, Yinkai Lei, Jerry H Mason et al.
- Analyse of dynamic operation mode for drilling machine equipped with drill for pits digging
  G. D. Musc (Anghelache), M. A. Potîrniche and G. F. Cpân
- <u>Development of a Molecularly Imprinted</u> <u>Sensing Material for Antibiotics Detection</u> Hugues Charlier, Victoria Dupiereux-Fettweis, Maxime Lobry et al.

# **Destructive and non-destructive testing of samples from PLA** and PETG materials

T Balint<sup>1</sup>, J Živčák<sup>1</sup>, R Hudák<sup>1</sup>, T Tóth<sup>1</sup>, M Kohan<sup>1</sup>, S Lancoš<sup>1</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Biomedical engineering and measurement department, Faculty of mechanical engineering, Technical university of Košice, Letná 9, 042 00, SK

1199 (2021) 012045

tomas.balint@tuke.sk

Abstract. This study deals with the properties of PLA and PETG materials, which are important for gaining knowledge in biomedical applications. The process of obtaining an ideal implant from a material with suitable mechanical and physical properties is a complex process considered to be one of the most difficult in tissue engineering. PLA and PETG material properties were determined based on performed non-destructive and destructive materials testing methods. Destructive testing was performed on Hegewald and Peschke equipment and non-destructive testing was performed on Carl Zeiss Metrotome 1500 (Germany). Commercial filaments from various companies were selected, from which type 5A dogbone samples were printed on a TRILAB printer. Subsequently, after carrying out the tests on dogbone samples, the results were evaluated and compared with the help of graphs and tables.

#### **1. Introduction**

3D printing allows you to produce bodies with a sophisticated shape in a short time. This innovative technology is useful for the production of prototypes in biomedical engineering. FDM printing using PLA and PETG materials is very common. It brings many advantages but also disadvantages in the form of microscopic defects that cannot be visible with the eye. To detect these defects, it is necessary to perform a scan on the device, which uses X-rays and CT tomography. In this study, we scanned the samples before and after the tensile test. We performed the tensile test on equipment from Hegewald and Peschke company. Detected defects and examination of porosity help with the selection of samples that are suitable for determining the mechanical properties [1] - [3].

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

# 2. Properties of PLA and PETG materials

# 2.1. PLA

At present, new knowledge in the field of polymer development and use is coming to the fore. The polylactic acid (PLA) biopolymer is a more well-known polymer that is produced from renewable raw materials, important from the point of view of ecology. It excels in its biocompatibility, and broad-spectrum usage, especially for biomedical applications (Figure 1) and additive production. Due to its properties such as biodegradability, mechanical strength, and biodegradability, it is a suitable material that can be used in the human body. PLA blends extensively with other polymers, eliminating worse PLA properties such as low toughness and hydrophobicity. PLA is widely used in the biomedical field, such as the production of scaffolds, covering membranes, implants, and sutures used, for example, in dermatology. Polymers are more suitable for scaffolds than metals because of their degradability. Another key factor is the adhesion of the cells to the polymer. PLA has a great potential for bone fixation because it has several advantages over metal fixations, such as high strength, similar to bone strength. PLA is the only polymer that can be useful in many applications with simple modifications of its physicochemical structure [4].



Figure 1. Biomedical applications of PLA [4].

# 2.2. PETG

Polyethylene terephthalate glycol (PETG) is a polyester thermoplastic derivative polymer made of polyethylene terephthalate (PET). It is used in implantology but also the food and packaging industry. PETG properties include good formability, chemical resistance, and low forming temperature, resistance to thermal changes, low moisture absorption, recyclability, and sustainability. PETG is a suitable material for additive production with optimal parameters [5].

## 3. Preparation of samples for mechanical testing

In this study, we selected filaments made of PLA and PETG materials, from which we printed dogbone type 5A samples for destructive and non-destructive testing. Modeling and testing were carried out according to the relevant standard EN ISO 527-2: 1996. Dogbone samples were modeled in SolidWorks. The dimensions of the dogbone sample are shown in Figure 2, where the total length of the sample is 75 mm, the thickness is 2 mm and the width at the edges is 12,5 mm [6] [7].



Figure 2. Type 5A sample parameters.

# 3.1 3D printing of samples

The samples were printed on a TRILAB printer. The individual printing parameters are listed in Table 1 for PLA and in Table 2 for PETG. 3D printing went smoothly (Figures 3 and 4). TRILAB DELTIQ 2 is the ideal printer for printing from PLA and PETG materials [8]. When printing from PETG material, the temperature of 1 layer was set to 235 degrees for better adhesion to the plate.

Table 1. Print parameters for PLA.

MATERIAL	PLA
PRIMARY LAYER HEIGHT	0,3mm
INTERIOR FILL PERCENTAGE	100%
HEATED BED	55°C
HOTEND 1 LAYER	215°C
<b>HOTEND 2 LAYER</b>	205°C
DEFAULT PRINTING SPEED	3000 mm/min

Table 2. Print parameters for PETG.

MATERIAL	PETG
PRIMARY LAYER HEIGHT	0,3mm
INTERIOR FILL PERCENTAGE	100%
HEATED BED	90°C
HOTEND 1 LAYER	235°C
HOTEND 2 LAYER	240°C
DEFAULT PRINTING SPEED	3000 mm/min

1199 (2021) 012045

doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1199/1/012045



Figure 3. Sample PLA.



Fig. 4. PETG samples.

After printing the samples, we marked them, measured them with a caliper, and vacuumed them to prevent biodegradation.

# 4. Non-destructive testing of samples

Non-contact non-destructive measurement on a Carl Zeiss Metrotome 1500 (Germany) (Figure 5) was performed on 20 PLA samples and 20 PETG samples before and after the tensile test. A total of 80 samples were taken and evaluated. The parameters of the metrotome device that uses the application of X-rays and CT tomography are in Table 3 [9].

Table 3. Parameters of the device Metrotom 1500.

Maximum dimensions of	300x300x300
the measured component	mm
in 3 axes	
Maximum mass of the	50 kg
component to be measured	
<b>Illuminator power</b>	225kV/225W

1199 (2021) 012045

doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1199/1/012045



Figure 5. Metrotom.

The purpose of this effective non-destructive scanning on a Carl Zeiss metrotome was to detect various sample defects that cannot be detected by a normal aspect. These defects affect the final test values of the samples for determining the mechanical properties. The porosity of the samples, the internal structure and the quality of the layers were monitored. Individual aspects were evaluated using VGStudio MAX software. A total of 40 different samples of PLA and PETG were evaluated after and before mechanical testing, where up to 80 scans had to be evaluated. The total porosity (%) of the samples (Table 4) gives us more accurate values, where sample no. 18 of PLA material had the highest value of 3.71% and, conversely, sample No. 14 of PETG material had the lowest porosity value of 1.15% [10] - [12].

Table 4. Porousness of all samples.

SAMPLE	PLA	PETG
	[%]	[%]
1	2,54	2
2	1,96	2,35
3	2,68	1,51
4	2,33	2,19
5	2,41	2,80
6	2,42	2,39
7	2,29	2,49
8	2,39	2,35
9	2,58	3,04
10	2,28	3,33
11	2,97	2,75
12	2,14	2,34
13	2,02	1,51
14	3,51	1,15
15	2,45	2,30
16	2,58	1,23
17	2,21	2,61
18	3,71	1,96
19	2,66	1,59
20	2,47	2,73

When testing type 5A dogbone samples with a tensile test, it is assumed that the tearing or stretching of the sample will occur in the area of interest indicated before testing. Due to various defects, which can only be detected using CT tomography or electron microscopy, we found that the cause of rupture of sample no. 12 of PETG material (Figure 6a) outside the area was a defect that can be seen in cross section (Figure 7a) and a higher porosity value at the rupture point of the sample.



Figure 6a and 6b. Sample No.12 from PETG before and after tensile test.

The point of rupture (Figure 6b) and the higher porosity rate (Figure 7b) are factors that affect the resulting mechanical properties of the samples. The findings showed that the use of CT tomography in the testing of samples from different materials has its necessary justification.



Figure 7a and 7b. Cross section and porosity rate of sample No. 12 made of PETG material.

When examining the individual layers of sample no. 18 of the PLA material showed layering defects that cannot be detected by the eye (Figure 8). This defect can affect the resulting area of interest and the results of mechanical testing.

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 1199 (2021) 012045 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1199/1/012045



Figure 8. Layering errors of sample No. 18 from PLA.

Sample No. 18 of PLA material was ruptured (Figure 9b) at the site of interest indicated before testing due to high porosity (3.71%) and the occurrence of a defect, which can be seen in Figure 9a in cross-section of the sample.



Figure 9a and 9b. Cross section of sample no. 18 of the PLA before the tensile test and the rupture area of the sample after the tensile test.

#### 5. Tensile test

The tensile test was carried out on a machine from Hegewald & Peschke. This machine is designed to perform destructive tests, and it is located at the Department of Biomedical Engineering and Measurement. The test speed was designated according to EN ISO 527-2: 1996 to 2 mm/min. Figure 10 shows the clamped specimen between the jaws of the testing machine. The evaluation of the tensile test consists of the evaluation of the tension  $\sigma_{MAX}$  [MPa]. During the tensile test, the software of the traction machine recorded the value of the cross-section  $S_o$ , the value of the modulus of elasticity in tension E, the value of the maximum tension  $\sigma_{MAX}$ , or the value of the yield tension  $\sigma_Y$ . The initial measured length  $L_o$ , the relative elongation and the percentage elongation were documented using an additional extensometer.

1199 (2021) 012045

IOP Publishing doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1199/1/012045



Figure 10. Clamped specimen between jaws.

Samples of PLA material after the tensile test are shown in Figure 11. Most of the samples were ruptured in the area of interest marked before testing. Samples made of PETG material (Figure 12) showed greater plasticity.



Figure 11. PLA samples after tensile test.



Figure 12. PETG samples after tensile test.

MMS 2021		IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering	1199 (2021) 012045	doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1199/1/012045

A total of 40 samples were tested. Graphical evaluation with supplemented tables serves for the best possible interpretation of the obtained results. Table 5 shows the tension values (MPa).

TEST SAMPLE	PLA [MPa]	PETG [MPa]
1	33,58	48,90
2	46,55	51,83
3	46,90	47,56
4	46,25	48,25
5	43,38	48,34
6	47,63	48,81
7	46,41	50,26
8	46,32	49,72
9	45,59	50,43
10	47,62	49,16
11	43,10	51,91
12	47,27	49,24
13	49,19	47,22
14	42,61	50,17
15	45,17	52,46
16	46,01	51,65
17	45,28	52,87
18	46,36	46,87
19	43,86	50,15
20	50,10	51,10
AVERAGE	45,46	49,84
STANDARD DEVIATION	3,29	1,71

Table 5. Sample tension values.

These values are graphically illustrated in the graph (Figure 13). It follows that the highest values of 52,87 MPa are shown by sample 17 from PETG material. On the contrary, the smallest values of 33.58 MPa were reached by sample 1 and 42,61 MPa by sample 14 made of PLA material. Sample 18 of PLA material with the highest porosity value had a tension value of 46,36 MPa, i.e. above average, and sample 12 of PETG material was 49,24 MPa. From the values found, it can be said that the lower the porosity value, the better the sample and the more relevant results from mechanical testing.

1199 (2021) 012045

doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1199/1/012045



Figure 13. Graphical representation of tension values.

#### 6. Conclusion

By using additive technology, produced components can be used in various fields. The additive manufacturing process can lead to the production of personalized components, but due to the lack of knowledge about the quality of production, it is necessary to proceed to the analysis of components using various imaging methods. In this study, we modeled, printed, labeled, scanned, and tested samples from the most widely used materials in the medical additive field. By scanning, we determined the degree of porosity of all samples, and thorough analysis of various defects in the structures of the samples. The result is tables and images from scanning on the Metrotrom 1500. From the properties obtained by tensile tests, it is clear that the PETG samples achieve a higher degree of plasticity and higher tension values. The study has a significant contribution to the testing of materials and provides scope for further research in the mechanical testing of samples. Based on the acquired knowledge, it is possible in the future to perform extended mechanical tests with statistical calculations and later for complex research to perform tests using an electron microscope. Another direction lies in widespread destructive and non-destructive research. The study is the basis for research into the mechanical properties of samples produced using additive technology and points to the need to use CT tomography to obtain relevant results.

#### References

- [1] Sen-lin Y, Zhi-Hua W, Wei Y ang Ming-Bo Y 2008 Thermal and mechanical properties of chemical crosslinked polylactide (PLA) *J. Polymer test.* JPT08(2008)009
- [2] Szykiedans K, Credo W and Osiński D 2017 Selected Mechanical Properties of PETG 3-D Prints J. Procedia Eng. JPE02(2017)245
- [3] Pokorný P, Václav Š, Petru J and Kritikos M 2021 Porosity analysis of additive manufactured parts using CAQ technology J. Multidisciplinary Digit. Publish. Institute JMDPI05(2021)014

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 1199 (2021) 012045 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1199/1/012045

- [4] Singhvi M S, Zinjarde S S and Gokhale D V 2019 Polylactic acid: synthesis and biomedical applications J. Society for applied microbiol. JSFAM15(2019)127
- [5] Lee N and Lee S 2018 Water-assisted extrusion of bio-based PETG/clay nanocomposites *Korea-Aust. Rheol. J.* KARJ30(2018)0006
- [6] Gordelier T J Thies P R, Turner L and Johanning L 2019 Optimising the FDM additive manufacturing process to achieve maximum tensile strength: a state-of-the-art review *Rapid Prototyp. J. RPJ07(2018)183*
- [7] Musa N A, Oriaifo M A and Isamotu O F 2018 Simulation of flow in unconventional bends to determine minor head losses and loss coefficient using solidworks *Nigerian Research J Eng.* and Environment. Science NRJEES02(2018)003
- [8] Lorenzo D A, Harry H, Anna D L, Johann H and Alister H 2019 3D Printed acetabular cups for total hip arthroplasty: a review article J. Multidisciplinary Digit. Publish. Institute JMDPI07(2019)714
- [9] Mutiargo B, Garbout A and Malcolm A A 2019 Defect detection using trainable segmentation International forum on medical Imaging in Asia IFMIA(2019)
- [10] Villarraga-Gómez H, Lee Ch and Smith S T 2017 Dimensional metrology with X-ray CT: a comparison with CMM measurements on internal features and compliant structures J. *Precision Eng. JPE08(2017)021*
- [11] Anton P, Stephan G R, Johan E, Gerrie B and Deborah C B 2015 Application of microCT to the non-destructive testing of an additive manufactured titanium component *Case Studies in Nondestructive Test. and Evaluation J. CCSNTEJ09*(2015)001
- [12] Bayraktar E, Antolovich S D and Bathias C 2007 New developments in non-destructive controls of the composite materials and applications in manufacturing engineering J. Materials Processing Technology JMPT12(2007)001

#### Acknowledgments

This scientific study was created thanks to supporting within the Operational Program Integrated Infrastructure for the project "Center for Medical Bioadditive Research and Production (CEMBAM), code ITMS2014 +: 313011V358, co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund" and with the support of KEGA 040TUKE-4/2019 digitization methods to support the educational process in the field of aortotic prosthetics) and also by project VEGA 1/0179/19 Development and construction of low-

cost modular prostheses of upper limbs manufactured by additive technologies.